

SECRET

Dispatch No. 201A-2640

Extracted FROM "NEOSTER"
Folder "B" Oct. 52 — "

9 March 1953

TO: Chief, MR

FROM: Chief of Base, Pullach

SUBJECT: General - Operational
Specific - Deftutter test of NOSTER

1. Subject, known as NOSTER, was interviewed on 22 February 1953 at Beirut, Lebanon, at the request of [] for security evaluation to verify bona fides and determine motivation.

2. Background information.

a. Personal history facts, as submitted by subject, covering name, date and place of birth, etc., appear to be true. An unexplained and undetermined confusion seems to be present in subject's mind as to his birthplace and age. He states that he was born in January 1918, yet twice he told this examiner that he was 32 years of age. When his attention was called to this discrepancy, he looked greatly puzzled, and after counting on his fingers agreed that he was actually 35 years of age. When asked when he last celebrated his birthday, subject stated that he did not remember. During the testing subject reacted the first time the question of age was asked, but the reaction did not repeat itself when the question was repeated. Conceivably subject's confusion on this question might be tied in with the difference in Christian and Moslem (subject is a Moslem) year count.

b. The only specific operational questions asked during the testing were concerning the BIS and participation in war crimes.

(1) In regard to the first question, subject was frank in admitting that BIS had contacted him last year and asked him some questions about other Cherkessians and also hinted that they might like to use subject to recruit other agents for them. Subject states that he turned over a list of 27 people to them but declined to assess each one individually. Subject told about a number of meetings he had had with a [] alias [] of BIS on this matter. Subject also willingly admits that he knows a number of Britishers that he suspects are tied up with BIS, notably a man by the name of [] (phon.). He also knows a [] (phon.) who works for UNRWA but is probably tied up with BIS in some capacity or other. In this connection it is interesting to note that subject believes that the NCNC is tied up with BIS, but subject is unable to get this confirmed through his contacts in Munich. Subject emphatically states, however, that if he ever gets his suspicion confirmed, he will break with NCNC instantly and organize his own movement, which he believes will rapidly outnumber the present organization, since all Cherkessians are very anti-British. Subject, himself, certainly seems to be possessed by an almost insane hatred of the British, caused, he claims, by the fact that they forcibly recaptured his father-in-law, who consequently cut his wrists and bled to death.

(2) Subject's statements about his contacts with the BIS appear to be true, i.e. he is merely exploiting them as much as he can, and is not informing them about us. All questions on this matter are clear, i.e. there is no

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2004 2005

-2-
SECRET

polygraphic indication of deception.

(3) Subject has consistent and pronounced reactions to all questions regarding war crimes, and is, no doubt, hiding a number of activities from us on that point. However, since no attempts had been made to yet subject in former SS, Gestapo or Wehrmacht files a detailed interrogation on this point was obviously impossible. Subject freely admits that he "held up at gun point" an Austrian clerk in order to procure repatriation papers after the war. He also freely admits a number of other minor activities in the war crimes field, but the major ones are unknown to us. If a detailed interrogation along those lines is desired it is suggested that subject be thoroughly namechecked in available files prior to interrogation. Clear evidence of a war crimes record might also serve as a possible control.

3. Motivation: There is no polygraphic indication of deception in regard to: membership in the Communist Party; contact with Soviet or Satellite intelligence.

4. Degree of accuracy: Testing results appear to be very accurate. At the time of testing subject was a strong reactor.

5. Remarks:

Subject should be interrogated in detail on his knowledge about []
Emphasis should be placed on the following points: exactly what statements were made by [] when NOSTRIL met him in the spring of 1952; who is the person NOSTRIL claims acts as link between [] and Soviet local representative s; exactly what took place when one of NOSTRIL's friends shadowed [] and saw him step into a BIZ-owned car; who were actually involved in this last affair. The results of this interrogation should be made available to []'s interrogator if such an interrogation is desired.

Approved by

[]

Dist:

3 - ME

3 - COM

1 - Case officer

SECRET